

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,521	01/07/2002	David B. Drennen	DP-304537 (7500/101)	8374
7590 11/03/2004			EXAMINER	
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			TORRES, MELANIE	
Legal Staff 1450 W. Long Lake			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2, Mail Code: 482-204-	3683		
Troy, MI 48098			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Symmetry		10/040,521	DRENNEN ET AL.			
<i>J</i> .	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	T	Melanie Torres	3683			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the second section apported to the second section apport	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
·						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠	<u> </u>					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Theorem 1.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl prity documents have been rec nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
2) Notic 3) Inform Pape	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

-

14

Art Unit: 3683

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 2, 4, 21, 22, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heintzmann et al.

Re claim 2, 4, 21, 24 and 25, Heintzmann discloses a brake apparatus comprising a brake means (12) including a drive motor (3) having a shaft (15) that is rotatable about an axis for actuation of the brake means (13, 14) and jaw-tooth clutch means (7) for selectively locking the shaft against rotation about the axis. (Figure 1)

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3, and 18-20 are allowed.

Claims 5-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

چۆپ

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed August 11, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues wherein Heintzmann et al. do not teach wherein the jaw-tooth clutch means includes "a rotating jaw operatively attached to a shaft for rotation about an axis and a translating jaw operatively connected to a housing for non-rotatable translation along an axis into engagement with a rotating jaw, the rotating and translating jaws each having axially directed mating teeth affixed on mating surfaces of the jaws, the jaw-tooth clutch thereby locking the shaft against rotation about the axis." Heitzman discloses a "jaw clutch." It is the examiner's position that the language included in applicant's claim is merely a definition of a conventional jaw clutch and is extremely old and well known in the art. As support for this position a list of prior art is provided disclosing conventional jaw tooth clutches.

#### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Whipple, Rattenberg et al., Bent et al., Vaiden, Miller, and Ciaccio are examples of jaw tooth clutches which are well known in the art.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

i di

E

Application/Control Number: 10/040,521

Art Unit: 3683

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (703)305-0293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703)308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/040,521

Art Unit: 3683

MT November 1, 2004

A STATISTICAL INTERVINER